

### Minister's Statement.

\*Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).—With your permission I would like to make a statement. When I was answering supplementaries with regard to a question relating to the recruitment of judicial officers, I remember to have informed the House that draft rules were ready and in consultation with the High Court, they would be finalised. But it has been brought to my notice that it has been reported that “the draft rules have been referred to the High Court and that they are pending there for three months and after those rules are received they would be finalised”. This is not true. So far as I remember, the Hon'ble House is aware of the fact that the rules have been received and we are considering the draft rules and in consultation with the High Court they will be finalised. I would only like to clear the misconception that has arisen in this regard and also I want to mention that anywhere this misconception may not be entertained.

### BUDGET FOR 1958-59—DEMANDS FOR GRANTS

#### DEMAND No. 15—ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.

##### 27. *Administration of Justice.*

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 69,53,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending 31st March 1959, in respect of ‘Administration of Justice’.”

#### DEMAND No. 16—JAILS.

##### 28. *Jails and Convict Settlements.*

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 34,63,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending 31st day of March 1959, in respect of ‘Jails and Convict Settlements’.”

Both the Demands are before the House. Hon'ble Members may offer their remarks in respect of both of them.

Sri K. KENCHAPPA (Hiriyur).—What about cut motions ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Last time it has been decided, as Hon'ble Members know, that cut motions need not be moved in this House. They are meant to draw the attention of the Government to certain matters contained in them and the Government while giving their reply will take into consideration the contents of the cut motions.

Sri K. KENCHAPPA.—That procedure was meant for the previous session.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It has been decided by the House.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Mysore).—Last time it so happened that Demands set down for a particular day were carried over to the next day and sometimes even to the subsequent day and that resulted in not reaching several other Demands and guillotine was applied on the last day. So, may I request the Chair whether the same procedure would be followed this time or the Demands will be guillotined each day ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—We will stick to the programme as it is. I do not think this time it will happen because I have given much more time for the general discussion on the Budget. So, I do not think any such contingency would arise this time.

I will put a time-limit on speeches. In the case of each member it will be 15 minutes. But in the case of Hon'ble the Leader of the Opposition, I will be a little lenient.

\*Sri K. KENCHAPPA.—Let it be observed strictly.

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ನ್ಯಾಯಾಂಗ ಖಾತೆಗೆ ಬಡ್ತಿ ಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ 78,91,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚುಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಇಟ್ಟಿರುವ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸುತ್ತಾ ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಷ್ಟು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಇಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು